EAST Search History

Ref #	Hits	Search Query	DBs	Default Operator	Plurals	Time Stamp
L2	26	("4399504" | "4480304" | "4533995" | "4665520" | "4965719" | "5043876" | "5247672" | "5255387" | "5265232" | "5276835" | "5280611" | "5287473" | "5287521" | "5289588" | "5305448" | "5408653" | "5557792").PN. OR ("5761660").URPN.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR	OR	ON	2007/04/12 12:52
L3	35	"6629097"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR	OR	ON	2007/04/12 13:09
L32	28	(sequentially adj ordered adj set) and (@rlad<="20040107" @ad<="20040107")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 15:36
L33	18	(sequentially adj ordered adj set) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 15:40
L34	14445	(batch adj process) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 15:47
L36	3	34 and (lock adj management)	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 15:42
L37	11	34 and (record adj level)	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 15:42
L38	3	(block adj level adj lock) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 15:45
L39	3	(batch adj sequential adj process) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 15:48
L40	4	(batch adj sequential adj processing) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 16:20
L41	0	(batch adj sequential adj processing) . clm.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 16:20

KBP

EAST Search History

L42	41	(lock and (control adj area)).clm.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 16:21
L43	30	(sequentially adj ordered adj set) "clm."	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 16:22
L44	6	(sequentially adj ordered adj set).clm.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 16:22
S1	2	"6732137"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/03/25 16:09
S2	4	batch adj sequential adj process	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/03/25 16:10
S3	2	dual-level adj lock$3	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/03/25 16:10
S4	33	second adj level adj lock$3	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/03/25 16:11
S5	18	S4 and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 14:10
S7	10	"5761660"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/03/25 16:40
S10	97	file adj retention	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/03/25 16:42
S11	73	S10 and (@rlad<="20040107" @ad<="20040107")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 15:35
S15	109	quarles.in.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/10 17:01

EAST Search History

S16	1	S15 and shadow	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/10 17:22
S17	1	summarized adj workload	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/10 17:22
S18	10	summary adj workload	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 11:08
S19	1	"20060168312"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 11:10
S20	1	"20060026236"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 11:17
S21	1	"7089281"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 11:17
S22	2	"6732137"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 13:41
S23	9	"6026406"	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 14:08
S24	16	(row adj level adj lock) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 15:36
S25	18	("5161227" | "5535375" | "5551046" | "5623659" | "5642501" | "5649200" | "5664186" | "5666532" | "5675762" | "5692178" | "5890153" | "5897638"). PN. OR ("6370529").URPN.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR	OR	ON	2007/04/11 14:22
S26	12	(record adj level adj lock) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 14:27
S27	23	(record adj level adj lock$3) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 15:47

EAST Search History

S28	55	dogpile	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 15:47
S29	297	multiple adj search adj engine	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 14:48
S30	43	table adj level adj lock$3	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 15:47
S31	10	S30 and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 15:49
S32	0	(batch adj transaction adj lock) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 15:50
S33	1	((batch adj transaction) with lock) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 15:50
S34	61	((batch adj transaction) and lock$3) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 16:10
S35	576	707/8.ccls. and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 16:36
S36	63	S35 and batch	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/11 16:10
S37	138	(granularity adj lock$3) and (@rlad<="19990512" @ad<="19990512")	US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; IBM_TDB	OR	ON	2007/04/12 10:21

Google Scholar BETA

Web Images Video News Maps more »

"multi-level lock" Search

Advanced Scholar Search
Scholar Preferences
Scholar Help

Scholar

Results **1 - 5** of **5** for **"multi-level lock"**. (**0.16** seconds)

All Results

R Solomon
J Blakeslee
W Tietz

Tip: Try removing quotes from your search to get more results.

Multi-level lock system and method - group of 4 »
W Tietz - US Patent 4,325,242, 1982 - Google Patents
... [ii] 4,325,242 Tietz [54] **MULTI-LEVEL LOCK** SYSTEM AND METHOD [75] Inventor: Werner
Tietz, Berlin, Fed. Rep. ... Page 6. 4,325,242 **MULTI-LEVEL LOCK** SYSTEM AND METHOD ...
Cited by 5 - Related Articles - Web Search

Distributed raid storage system - group of 4 »
RC Solomon, JA Blakeslee - US Patent 6,151,659, 2000 - Google Patents
Page 1. United States Patent US006151659A [il] Patent Number: 6,151,659 Solomon et al. [54] DISTRIBUTED RAID STORAGE SYSTEM [75] Inventors ...
Cited by 19 - Related Articles - Web Search

Cylinder lock and key - group of 2 »
PE Meissner - US Patent 4,869,085, 1989 - Google Patents
... io A **multi-level lock** system and method is disclosed in US Pat. No. 4,325,242, including discontinuous rib members ateachside ofanelongated key. US Pat. No. ...
Cited by 4 - Related Articles - Web Search

Database access with multilevel lock
M Cina - 2005 - freepatentsonline.com
... Abstract: A **multi-level lock** procedure is used in scheduling access of a table in a database by multiple processes running in parallel. ...
Cached - Web Search

Method for safe transfer of patient data on a data carrier - group of 3 »
A Veidung - 2004 - freepatentsonline.com
Patent Number: Advanced Search. Site Contents. Search Patents Use our search engine to find what you need. Data and Analytical Services Complete custom solutions ...
Cached - Web Search

"multi-level lock" Search

Google Home - About Google - About Google Scholar

Google Scholar BETA

Web Images Video News Maps more »

record level lock Search

Advanced Scholar Search
Scholar Preferences
Scholar Help

Scholar All articles Recent articles Results **1 - 10** of about **106,000** for **record level lock**. (**1.05** seconds)

All Results
C Mohan
G Weikum
M Stonebraker
D Lomet
E Hanson

Method for updating a block using **record-level** locks by committing the update if the block has not ... - group of 3 »
JP Strickland, KM Kapulka - US Patent 5,355,477, 1994 - Google Patents
... Two or more concurrentprocesses can update different records within the same VSAM data CI using only a **record- level lock**. This ...
Cited by 28 - Related Articles - Web Search

Principles and realization strategies of multilevel transaction management - group of 4 »
G Weikum - ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS), 1991 - portal.acm.org
... approach [92]. Obviously, the page-**level locks** guarantee serializability of ... recover from a system crash, before applying any tuple-**level** log **record** the ...
Cited by 186 - Related Articles - Web Search

MLR: a recovery method for multi-**level** systems - group of 16 »
DB Lomet - Proceedings of the 1992 ACM SIGMOD international conference ..., 1992 - portal.acm.org
... **level** multi-**level** transaction nested transaction (transaction itself or system) compensation transaction a **lock** at L compensation log **record** (**recording** undo ...
Cited by 54 - Related Articles - Web Search - Library Search

The POSTGRES rule manager - group of 14 »
M Stonebraker, EN Hanson, S Potamianos - IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, 1988 - doi.ieeecs.org
... versus late evaluation, the decision of **lock** granularity is a complex optimization problem. Initial investigation [141 suggests that **record level** locking is ...
Cited by 106 - Related Articles - Web Search

Adaptive Locking Strategies in a Multi-node Data Sharing Environment - group of 4 »
AM Joshi - Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Very ..., 1991 - acm.org
... Rdb/VMS supports two-phase locking at the **record level** using **lock** de-escalation in order to reduce the number of **locks** that may be required for accessing ...
Cited by 30 - Related Articles - View as HTML - Web Search

Hybrid **lock** escalation and de-escalation protocols - group of 3 »
AM Joshi - US Patent 5,414,839, 1995 - Google Patents
... To allow for the possibility of relation **lock** de-escalation, **record- level** write sets and read predicate lists for transactions are kept in a control block ...
Cited by 35 - Related Articles - Web Search

A commentary on the POSTGRES rules system - group of 9 »
M Stonebraker, M Hearst, S Potamianos - ACM SIGMOD **Record**, 1989 - portal.acm.org
... If a PRS II rule contains new or old, then **record** processing will be used to ... retrieve "steel" where EMP.age < 80 This rule can use a column **level lock** and be ...
Cited by 42 - Related Articles - Web Search - Library Search

Transaction processing system and method with reduced locking - group of 5 »
C Mohan - US Patent 5,247,672, 1993 - Google Patents
... is also a need for a method for more the **lock** only needs to ... Locking al a finer granularity, such as **record**- ... **level** locking can reduce contention between concur- ...
Cited by 49 - Related Articles - Web Search

Transaction monitoring in Encompass [TM]: Reliable distributed transaction processing - group of 2 »
A Borr - Proceedings of the Very Large Database Conference, 1981 - cdserv4.inria.fr
... **Record level** iocking operates on the primary key of an individual logical data iecord; (There is no locking at the block or index **level**.) **Locks** on existing ...
Cited by 72 - Related Articles - View as HTML - Web Search

[BOOK] The Starburst Long Field Manager - group of 4 »
TJ Lehman, B Lindsay - 1989 - vldb.org
... by a combination of long-term and instantaneous **locks**. ... slice managed similarly to a database **record**, was used ... scheme provided only medium-**level** performance at ...
Cited by 50 - Related Articles - View as HTML - Web Search - Library Search

Goooooooooogle ▶
Result Page: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 **Next**

record level lock Search

Google Home - About Google - About Google Scholar